

### P3.110

#### **Deep sclerectomy with non absorbable implant Esnoper (V-2000) versus T-Flux. Comparative study. Report at one year**

J.I. Belda Sanchis, J. Placeres Daban, M.C. Calatayud, J. Ruiz Colecha  
Hospital de Torrevieja, Alicante, Spain

**Purpose:** Compare the postoperative outcome of two different non absorbable implants, the new version of the Esnoper V-2000 (AJL Ophthalmic S.A., Álava, España). with the T-Flux (Carl Zeiss Meditec Company, La Rochelle, France) used in deep sclerectomy.

**Methods:** 60 patients with open angle glaucoma or pseudoexfoliative glaucoma include initially. Underwent deep sclerectomy in group 1 (n = 30) the implant used was the T-Flux in group 2 (n = 30) the new version of the Esnoper, V-2000. In both group the surgical technique include 5-FU during the surgery, suprachoroidal implant and non scleral stitch. Non parametric test Mann - Whitney U and Wilcoxon W were used to analyzed the results.

**Results:** These are the results at one year of the modified version of the Esnoper V-2000 compare with the T-Flux our choice for the moment. There was no statistically difference between the groups. For group 1 (T-Flux) the mean age was  $70.37 \pm 6.57$  and the initial IOP, 1, 3, 6 month and one year was:  $24.93 \pm 10.03$  mm Hg,  $11.19 \pm 5.21$  mmHg,  $13.19 \pm 4.97$  mmHg,  $13.81 \pm 3.59$  and  $15.26 \pm 3.97$ . In the group 2 (V-2000) same parameters were:  $70.41 \pm 8.60$  years old, initial IOP  $24.24 \pm 10.81$ , 1 month  $10.09 \pm 2.82$ ; 3 month  $13.07 \pm 3.34$ ; 6 months  $13.40 \pm 4.04$  and 1 year  $15.94 \pm 2.31$  mmHg. I is not statistically significance. There were a similar rate of postoperative actions like goniotomy, needling and use of antimetabolites.

**Discussion:** The Esnoper V-2000 produces an IOP at least comparable with the T-Flux at one year with the same rate of complication.





